



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/759,788	01/16/2004	Thomas T. Yamashita	YAMA-009	8159
24353 7590 12/13/2010 BOZICEVIC, FIELD & FRANCIS LLP 1900 UNIVERSITY AVENUE SUITE 200 EAST PALO ALTO, CA 94303				
EXAMINER				
LEVY, NEIL S				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1615				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
12/13/2010		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Application No. 10/759,788</td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Applicant(s) YAMASHITA, THOMAS T.</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">Examiner NEIL LEVY</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">Art Unit 1615</td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 10/759,788	Applicant(s) YAMASHITA, THOMAS T.	Examiner NEIL LEVY	Art Unit 1615
Application No. 10/759,788	Applicant(s) YAMASHITA, THOMAS T.				
Examiner NEIL LEVY	Art Unit 1615				

All Participants:

(1) NEIL LEVY.

(2) ATTORNEY GLENN FOULDS.

Date of Interview: 9 December 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.
(4) _____

Time: _____

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
of record

Claims discussed:
1,41,42,44,58, 59

Prior art documents discussed:
of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/ NEIL LEVY/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1615

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: EXAminer noted amendments included allowable subject matter, pending considerations of any double patenting, IF, the claim 1 amended language of (a) was inserted in claim 41+42, with concentrations of claim 44 and surfactant as organosilicone, AND, the aqueous language of claim 58, all re-written as an independent claim. .